

Report Concerning Space Data System Standards

OVERVIEW OF SPACE COMMUNICATIONS PROTOCOLS

INFORMATIONAL REPORT

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

GREEN BOOK July 2018 July 2014

Commented [GPC1]: Including GPC comments on 10 Septemeber 2018.

AUTHORITY

Informational Report, Issue 3
July 2014
Washington, DC, USA

This document has been approved for publication by the Management Council of the Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems (CCSDS) and reflects the consensus of technical panel experts from CCSDS Member Agencies. The procedure for review and authorization of CCSDS Reports is detailed in *Organization and Processes for the Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems* (CCSDS A02.1-Y-4).

This document is published and maintained by:

CCSDS Secretariat National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, DC, USA E-mail: secretariat@mailman.ccsds.org

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

FOREWORD

This document is a CCSDS Report that contains an overview of the space communications protocols recommended by CCSDS. A space link is a communications link between a spacecraft and its associated ground system or between two spacecraft. A space communications protocol is a communications protocol designed to be used over a space link, or in a network that contains one or multiple space links. Through the process of normal evolution, it is expected that expansion, deletion, or modification of this document may occur. This Report is therefore subject to CCSDS document management and change control procedures, which are defined in *Organization and Processes for the Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems* (CCSDS A02.1-Y-4). Current versions of CCSDS documents are maintained at the CCSDS Web site: http://www.ccsds.org/

Questions relating to the contents or status of this document should be sent to the CCSDS Secretariat at the e-mail address indicated on page i.

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page ii

At time of publication, the active Member and Observer Agencies of the CCSDS were:

Member Agencies

- Agenzia Spaziale Italiana (ASI)/Italy.
- Canadian Space Agency (CSA)/Canada.
- Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (CNES)/France.
- China National Space Administration (CNSA)/People's Republic of China.
- Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt (DLR)/Germany.
- European Space Agency (ESA)/Europe.
- Federal Space Agency (FSA)/Russian Federation.
- Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE)/Brazil.
- Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA)/Japan.
- National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)/USA.
- UK Space Agency/United Kingdom.

Observer Agencies

- Austrian Space Agency (ASA)/Austria.
- Belgian Federal Science Policy Office (BFSPO)/Belgium.
- Central Research Institute of Machine Building (TsNIIMash)/Russian Federation.
- China Satellite Launch and Tracking Control General, Beijing Institute of Tracking and Telecommunications Technology (CLTC/BITTT)/China.
- Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS)/China.
- Chinese Academy of Space Technology (CAST)/China.
- Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization (CSIRO)/Australia.
- Danish National Space Center (DNSC)/Denmark.
- Departamento de Ciência e Tecnologia Aeroespacial (DCTA)/Brazil.
- European Organization for the Exploitation of Meteorological Satellites (EUMETSAT)/Europe.
- European Telecommunications Satellite Organization (EUTELSAT)/Europe.
- Geo-Informatics and Space Technology Development Agency (GISTDA)/Thailand.
- Hellenic National Space Committee (HNSC)/Greece.
- Indian Space Research Organization (ISRO)/India.
- Institute of Space Research (IKI)/Russian Federation.
- KFKI Research Institute for Particle & Nuclear Physics (KFKI)/Hungary.
- Korea Aerospace Research Institute (KARI)/Korea.
- Ministry of Communications (MOC)/Israel.
- National Institute of Information and Communications Technology (NICT)/Japan.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)/USA.
- National Space Agency of the Republic of Kazakhstan (NSARK)/Kazakhstan.
- National Space Organization (NSPO)/Chinese Taipei.
- Naval Center for Space Technology (NCST)/USA.
- Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK)/Turkey.
- South African National Space Agency (SANSA)/Republic of South Africa.
- Space and Upper Atmosphere Research Commission (SUPARCO)/Pakistan.
- Swedish Space Corporation (SSC)/Sweden.
- Swiss Space Office (SSO)/Switzerland.
- United States Geological Survey (USGS)/USA.

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page iii

DOCUMENT CONTROL

Document	Title	Date	Status
CCSDS	Overview of Space Link Protocols,	June	Original issue,
130.0-G-1	Issue 1	2001	superseded
CCSDS	Overview of Space Communications	December	Issue 2, superseded
130.0-G-2	Protocols, Informational Report, Issue 2	2007	
CCSDS	Overview of Space Communications	July 2014	Current issue
130.0-G-3	Protocols, Informational Report, Issue 3		

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page iv

CONTENTS

Se	<u>ction</u>	Page
1	INT	RODUCTION1-1
	1.1 1.2	PURPOSE AND SCOPE
	1.3 1 4	DEFINITIONS1-1 REFERENCES 1-2
2	INT	RODUCTION TO SPACE COMMUNICATIONS PROTOCOLS
	2.1	HISTORY OF SPACE COMMUNICATIONS PROTOCOLS
	2.2	PROTOCOL LAYERS
3	MA	JOR FEATURES OF SPACE COMMUNICATIONS PROTOCOLS
	3.1	PHYSICAL LAYER
	3.2	DATA LINK LAYER
	3.4	TRANSPORT LAYER
	3.5	APPLICATION LAYER
4	EXA	AMPLES OF PROTOCOL CONFIGURATIONS
	4.1	GENERAL
	4.2	END-TO-END FORWARDING USING PACKETS DEFINED BY CCSDS 4-2
	4.3 4.4	IP OVER CCSDS FOR END-TO-END ROUTING
Aľ	INEX	A-1
<u>Fi</u>	gure	
2-1	l Sp	ace Communications Protocols Reference Model
2-2	2 SO 1 D-	Interposition Control of the Space Communications Protocols
3-1 4-1		mationships between Channels of the space Data Link Flotocols
4-2	2 Pr	otocol Configuration on a Space Link When Space Packet Protocol or
• •	Er	capsulation Service Is Used for End-to-End Forwarding

4-3	Protocol Configuration in a Space Data System When Space Packet Protocol or	
	Encapsulation Service Is Used for End-to-End Forwarding	. 4-3
4-4	Protocol Configuration on a Space Link When IP over CCSDS Is Used for	
	End-to-End Routing	. 4-4
	6	

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page v

CONTENTS (continued)

Figu	<u>re</u>	Page
4-5	Protocol Configuration in a Space Data System When IPoC Is Used for End- to-End Routing	4-5
4-6	Protocol Configuration on a Space Link When CFDP Is Used for End-to-End Forwarding	4-6
4-7	Protocol Configuration in a Space Data System When CFDP Is Used for End- to-End Forwarding	4-6
Tabl	<u>e</u>	
3-1	Identifiers of Space Data Link Protocols	3-4

	Identifiers of Space Data Ellik Frotocolo	-	
3-2	Summary of Services Provided by Space Data Link Protocols	3-	-5
3-3	Functions of Synchronization and Channel Coding Standards	3-	7

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page vi

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The purpose of this Report is to provide an architectural overview of the space communications protocols recommended by CCSDS and to show how these protocols are used in space mission data systems.

A space link is a communications link between a spacecraft and its associated ground system or between two spacecraft. A space communications protocol is a communications protocol designed to be used over a space link, or in a network that contains one or multiple space links.

This Report presents only a top-level overview of the space communications protocols and does not contain the specification or rationale of each protocol. The specification of a space communications protocol developed by CCSDS is contained in a CCSDS Blue Book, and its rationale is described in a CCSDS Green Book that accompanies the Blue Book.

1.2 DOCUMENT STRUCTURE

This document is divided into four numbered sections and an annex:

- a) section 1 presents the purpose and scope of this Report and lists the definitions and references used throughout the Report;
- b) section 2 provides a brief introduction to the space communications protocols;
- c) section 3 presents major features of the space communications protocols;
- d) section 4 shows some examples of how space communications protocols are used in space data systems;
- e) annex A lists acronyms and abbreviations used within this document.

1.3 DEFINITIONS

1.3.1 DEFINITIONS FROM OSI BASIC REFERENCE MODEL

Most of the CCSDS space communications protocols are defined using the style established by the Open Systems Interconnection (OSI) Basic Reference Model (reference [2]). This model provides a common framework for the development of standards in the field of systems interconnection. It defines concepts and terms associated with a layered architecture and introduces seven specific layers. The concepts and terms defined in this model are extensively used in the Blue Books that define CCSDS space communications protocols. If the reader is not familiar with this model, an excellent introduction can be found in a textbook on computer networks such as reference [3].

The following terms used in this Report are defined in reference [2]:

- a) Application Layer;
- b) Data Link Layer;
- c) layer;
- d) Network Layer;

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 1-1

- e) Physical Layer;
- f) protocol data unit;
- g) service;
- h) Transport Layer.

1.3.2 TERMS DEFINED IN THIS REPORT

For the purposes of this Report, the following definitions also apply. **bundle:** A protocol data unit of the DTN Bundle Protocol.

bundle protocol agent, BPA; Node component that offers the BP services and executes the procedures of the Bundle Protocol.

convergence layer adapter, CLA: Adapter that sends and receives bundles on behalf of the BPA.

forwarding: The act of transferring data from its source towards its destination, which may be in space or on the ground.

octet: An 8-bit word.

Physical Channel: A stream of bits transferred over a space link (see below) in a single direction.

routing: The process of selecting paths from origins to destinations in a network. **space link:** A communications link between a spacecraft and its associated ground system or between two spacecraft. A space link consists of one or more Physical Channels in one or both directions.

space communications protocol: A communications protocol designed to be used over a space link (see above), or in a network that contains one or multiple space links.

1.4 REFERENCES

The following documents are referenced in this Report. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All documents are subject to revision, and users of this Report are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the documents indicated below. The CCSDS Secretariat maintains a register of currently valid CCSDS documents.

- Organization and Processes for the Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems. Issue 4. CCSDS Record (Yellow Book), CCSDS A02.1-Y-4. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, April 2014.
- Information Technology—Open Systems Interconnection—Basic Reference Model: The Basic Model. 2nd ed. International Standard, ISO/IEC 7498-1:1994. Geneva: ISO, 1994.
- [3] Andrew S. Tanenbaum and David J. Wetherall. *Computer Networks*. 5th ed. Boston: Pearson Prentice Hall, 2011.
- [4] *Space Packet Protocol.* Issue 1. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 133.0-B-1. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, September 2003.
- [5] TM Space Data Link Protocol. Issue 1. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 132.0-B-1. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, September 2003.

Commented [GPC2]: Not defined here but in 734.0-G according to SANA, see https://sanaregistry.org/r/terms/records/162 However it is a RPC 5050 Term according to CCSDS 734.2-B-1. I would the remove the term to dedicated subsection for terms defined in
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt, Bold
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt, Bold
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Commented [GPC3]: RFC 5050 term as per CCSDS 734.2-B-1. Move
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt, Bold
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt, Bold
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Commented [GPC4]: RFC 5050 term as per CCSDS 734.2-B-1. Move
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt, Bold

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt

Commented [GPC5]: There is a need of updating issue for this and other documents.

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 1-2

- [6] TC Space Data Link Protocol. Issue 2. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 232.0-B-2. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, September 2010.
- [7] *AOS Space Data Link Protocol*. Issue 2. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 732.0-B-2. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, July 2006.
- [8] TM Synchronization and Channel Coding. Issue 2. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 131.0-B-2. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, August 2011.
- [9] TC Synchronization and Channel Coding. Issue 2. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 231.0-B-2. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, September 2010.
- [10] Radio Frequency and Modulation Systems—Part 1: Earth Stations and Spacecraft. Issue 23. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 401.0-B-23. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, December 2013.
- [11] Space Communications Protocol Specification (SCPS)—Network Protocol (SCPS-NP). Issue 1-S. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Historical Recommendation), CCSDS 713.0-B-1-S. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, (May 1999) August 2010.
- [12] Space Communications Protocol Specification (SCPS)—Security Protocol (SCPS-SP). Issue 1-S. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Historical Recommendation), CCSDS 713.5-B-1-S. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, (May 1999) August 2010.
- [13] Space Communications Protocol Specification (SCPS)—Transport Protocol (SCPS-TP). Issue 2. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 714.0-B-2. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, October 2006.
- [14] Space Communications Protocol Specification (SCPS)—File Protocol (SCPS-FP). Issue 1-S. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Historical Recommendation), CCSDS 717.0-B-1-S. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, (May 1999) August 2010.
- [15] CCSDS File Delivery Protocol (CFDP). Issue 4. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 727.0-B-4. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, January 2007.
- [16] Lossless Data Compression. Issue 2. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 121.0-B-2. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, May 2012.
- [17] Image Data Compression. Issue 1. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 122.0-B-1. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, November 2005.
- [18] Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol—Data Link Layer. Issue 5. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 211.0-B-5. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, December 2013.
- Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol—Coding and Synchronization Sublayer. Issue 2. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 211.2-B-2. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, December 2013.
- [20] Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol—Physical Layer. Issue 4. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 211.1-B-4. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, December 2013.

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 1-3

- [21] Information Technology—Open Systems Interconnection—Basic Reference Model— Conventions for the Definition of OSI Services. International Standard, ISO/IEC 10731:1994. Geneva: ISO, 1994.
- [22] J. Postel. Internet Protocol. STD 5. Reston, Virginia: ISOC, September 1981.
- [23] S. Deering and R. Hinden. Internet Protocol, Version 6 (IPv6) Specification. RFC 2460. Reston, Virginia: ISOC, December 1998.
- [24] J. Postel. Transmission Control Protocol. STD 7. Reston, Virginia: ISOC, September 1981.
- [25] J. Postel. User Datagram Protocol. STD 6. Reston, Virginia: ISOC, August 1980.
- [26] J. Postel and J. Reynolds. *File Transfer Protocol*. STD 9. Reston, Virginia: ISOC, October 1985.
- [27] S. Kent and K. Seo. Security Architecture for the Internet Protocol. RFC 4301. Reston, Virginia: ISOC, December 2005.
- [28] "Space Assigned Number Authority (SANA) Registry: Packet Version Number." Space Assigned Numbers Authority. Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems. http://sanaregistry.org/r/packet_version_number/.
- [29] *Encapsulation Service*. Issue 2. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 133.1-B-2. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, October 2009.
- [30] Communications Operation Procedure-1. Issue 2. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 232.1-B-2. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, September 2010.
- [31] Space Data Link Protocols—Summary of Concept and Rationale. Issue 2. Report Concerning Space Data System Standards (Green Book), CCSDS 130.2-G-2. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, November 2012.
- [32] Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol—Rationale, Architecture, and Scenarios. Issue 2. Report Concerning Space Data System Standards (Green Book), CCSDS 210.0-G-2. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, December 2013.
- [33] TM Synchronization and Channel Coding—Summary of Concept and Rationale. Issue
 2. Report Concerning Space Data System Standards (Green Book), CCSDS 130.1-G-2. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, November 2012.
- [34] TC Synchronization and Channel Coding—Summary of Concept and Rationale. Issue
 2. Report Concerning Space Data System Standards (Green Book), CCSDS 230.1-G-2.
 Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, November 2012.
- [35] CCSDS File Delivery Protocol (CFDP)—Part 1: Introduction and Overview. Issue 3. Report Concerning Space Data System Standards (Green Book), CCSDS 720.1-G-3. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, April 2007.
- [36] Lossless Data Compression. Issue 3. Report Concerning Space Data System Standards (Green Book), CCSDS 120.0-G-3. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, April 2013.
- [37] The Application of CCSDS Protocols to Secure Systems. Issue 2. Report Concerning Space Data System Standards (Green Book), CCSDS 350.0-G-2. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, January 2006.
- [38] Space Link Extension—Return All Frames Service Specification. Issue 3.
 Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 911.1-B-3.
 Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, January 2010.

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 1-4

- [39] Space Link Extension—Return Channel Frames Service Specification. Issue 2.
 Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 911.2-B-2.
 Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, January 2010.
- [40] Space Link Extension—Return Operational Control Fields Service Specification. Issue
 2. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 911.5-B-2.
 Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, January 2010.
- [41] Space Link Extension—Forward CLTU Service Specification. Issue 3.
 Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 912.1-B-3.
 Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, July 2010.
- [42] Space Link Extension—Forward Space Packet Service Specification. Issue 2.
 Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 912.3-B-2.
 Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, July 2010.
- [43] Space Data Link Security Protocol. Issue 3. Draft Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Red Book), CCSDS 355.0-R-3. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, October 2013.
- [44] "Space Assigned Number Authority (SANA) Registry: Internet Protocol Extension Header." Space Assigned Numbers Authority. Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems. http://sanaregistry.org/r/ipe_header/.
- [45] IP over CCSDS Space Links. Issue 1. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 702.1-B-1. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, September 2012.
- [46] *Asynchronous Message Service*. Issue 1. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 735.1-B-1. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, September 2011.
- [47] "Space Assigned Numbers Authority (SANA) Registries." Space Assigned Numbers Authority. http://sanaregistry.org/.
- [48] Lossless Multispectral & Hyperspectral Image Compression. Issue 1. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 123.0-B-1. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, May 2012.
- [49] Flexible Advanced Coding and Modulation Scheme for High Rate Telemetry Applications. Issue 1. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 131.2-B-1. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, March 2012.
- [50] CCSDS Space Link Protocols over ETSI DVB-S2 Standard. Issue 1. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 131.3-B-1. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, March 2013.
- [51] TM Channel Coding Profiles. Issue 1. Recommendation for Space Data System Practices (Magenta Book), CCSDS 131.4-M-1. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, July 2011.
- [52] CCSDS Global Spacecraft Identification Field Code Assignment Control Procedures. Issue 6. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 320.0-B-6. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, October 2013.
- [53] Security Architecture for Space Data Systems. Issue 1. Recommendation for Space Data System Practices (Magenta Book), CCSDS 351.0-M-1. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, November 2012.
- [54] CCSDS Cryptographic Algorithms. Issue 1. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards (Blue Book), CCSDS 352.0-B-1. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, November 2012.
- [55] Licklider Transmission Protocol (LTP) for CCSDS. Forthcoming.
- [56] CCSDS Bundle Protocol Specification. Forthcoming.

Commented [GPC6]: Precise reference to be added for this and some of the following documents.

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 1-5

[57] Unified Space Link Protocol—Data Link, CCSDS 732.1-B-1. Blue book.

[58] Space Data Link Security Protocol, SDLS

[59] USLP Green Book.

[60] Space Communications Cross Support-Architecture Requirements Document. Magenta ← Formatted: Normal Book. Issue 1. May 2015. CCSDS 901.1-M-1

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 1-6

2 INTRODUCTION TO SPACE COMMUNICATIONS PROTOCOLS 2.1 HISTORY OF SPACE COMMUNICATIONS PROTOCOLS

Traditionally, telemetry transmitted from the spacecraft was formatted with a Time Division Multiplexing (TDM) scheme, where data items were multiplexed into a continuous stream of fixed-length frames based on a predefined multiplexing rule. To design and implement a data system for spacecraft, each project was forced to develop a custom system used by that project alone, with the exception of the ground tracking network, because of the lack of established standards in this field.

The advent of microprocessor-based spacecraft instruments and subsystems, however, enabled telemetry systems to become more flexible and have greater throughput so that data processed by onboard software could be transmitted efficiently.

In the early 1980s, CCSDS developed an international standard for a Packet Telemetry protocol capable of sending processed telemetry efficiently using a variable-length data unit called the Source Packet. Source Packets generated by various instruments and subsystems on a spacecraft are transmitted to the ground in a stream of continuous, fixed-length Transfer Frames. This standard has been used by many space projects enabling them to share onboard and ground data processing equipment.

Based on a similar concept, another international standard on Telecommand was developed by CCSDS, shortly after Packet Telemetry, for sending commands to a spacecraft with a data unit known as the TC Packet. TC Packets destined for various instruments and subsystems on a spacecraft are transmitted from the ground in a stream of sporadic, variable-length Transfer Frames.

In the late 1980s, CCSDS extended the above standards to meet the requirements of the Advanced Orbiting Systems, such as the International Space Station, and came up with a third standard known as AOS. The AOS standard added to the Packet Telemetry standard services for transmitting various types of online data (such as audio and video data). And it may be used on both space-to-ground and ground-to-space links. The AOS uses the same packet structure as the Packet Telemetry standard but the frame format is slightly different. These three standards (Packet Telemetry, Telecommand, and AOS) were later restructured by CCSDS in order to define the protocols in a more structured and unified way, and the following standards replaced the original standards:

- a) Space Packet Protocol (reference [4]);
- b) TM, TC and AOS Space Data Link Protocols (references [5], [6], and [7], respectively);
- c) TM and TC Synchronization and Channel Coding (references [8] and [9], respectively).

As an international standard for the Radio Frequency (RF) signal between a spacecraft and a ground station, CCSDS developed a standard called Radio Frequency and Modulation Systems (reference [10]). This standard specifies the characteristics of the RF signal used to carry Packets and Frames.

In the 1990s, CCSDS developed another set of protocols collectively known as Space Communications Protocol Specifications (SCPS), which include SCPS Network Protocol (SCPS-NP) (reference [11]), SCPS Security Protocol (SCPS-SP) (reference [12]), SCPS Transport Protocol (SCPS-TP) (reference [13]), and SCPS File Protocol (SCPS-FP)

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 2-1

(reference [14]). The SCPS protocols are generally based on Internet protocols. But modifications and extensions to the Internet protocols are incorporated in the design of the SCPS protocols to meet the specific needs of space missions. CCSDS has retired all of the SCPS protocols with the exception of SCPS-TP.
In response to the needs of space missions to transfer files to and from an onboard mass

memory, CCSDS has developed a protocol called the CCSDS File Delivery Protocol (CFDP) (reference [15]). This protocol provides the capability to transfer files reliably and efficiently over an unreliable protocol (for example, the Space Packet Protocol).

In July 1998 due to the successes with relay experiments for Mars spacecraft, NASA began investigating the design for a standard protocol that can provide 'Internet-like' services to spacecraft that may be in deep-space and/or only intermittently-connected to Earth. A team of researchers is formed that includes Dr. Vint Cerf, co-author of the TCP/IP protocols.Today Delay Tolerant Networking (DTN) (reference [TBD]) provides a general-purpose network-/transport-layer service that is logically similar to what TCP/IP provides for the terrestrial Internet, but suitable for use in the space environment. In addition to the basic store-andforward internetworking service, DTN also provides: efficient reliability; security; in-order delivery; duplicate suppression; class of service (prioritization); remote management; a 'DVR-like' streaming service, rate buffering, and data accounting, all over possibly asymmetric and time-disjoint paths. Multiple applications including file transfer, messaging (e.g. for mission operations), and streaming audio/video can all be implemented on top of DTN and leverage its services to reduce risk, cost, and complexity.

<u>CCSDS has other specifications that individually implement some aspects of the network and transport-layer services that DTN provides, but none of them provide the flexibility or automated data transfer that DTN does</u>.

In the area of data compression, CCSDS has developed a Lossless Data Compression standard (reference [16]) and an Image Data Compression standard (reference [17]) either to increase the science return or to reduce the requirement for onboard memory, station contact time, and data archival volume. The former standard guarantees full reconstruction of the original data without incurring any distortion in the process, while with the latter standard, quantization or other approximations used in the compression process may result in the inability to reproduce the original data set without some distortion.

Recently CCSDS has developed a protocol called Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol (references [18], [19], [20], and [32]) to be used over proximity space links. Proximity space links are defined to be short range, bi-directional, fixed or mobile radio links, generally used to communicate among fixed probes, landers, rovers, orbiting constellations, and orbiting relays. This protocol defines a data link protocol (reference [18]), coding and synchronization methods (reference [19]), and RF and modulation characteristics (reference [20]).

In addition, CCSDS in 2018 has released the Unified Space Data Link Protocol (USLP) (reference [57]). This protocol has been designed to meet the requirements of space missions for efficient transfer of space application data of various types and characteristics over space-to-ground, ground-to-space, or space-to-space communications links (hereafter called space

Commented [GPC8]: Hopefully ©

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt

Commented [GPC7]: Both BP and LTP required.

Formatted: Space Before: Auto, After: Auto

Formatted: Font: (Asian) Times New Roman

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 2-2

links). It is envisioned that USLP will be used as the data link layer protocol for all future robotic and manned space missions.

Security is of great concern to many space missions. CCSDS has published several documents, including *The Application of CCSDS Protocols to Secure Systems* (reference [37]), *Security Architecture for Space Data Systems* (reference [53]), <u>and</u>-*CCSDS Cryptographic Algorithms* (reference [54]) and the Space Data Link Security Protocol (SDLS), reference [58]) to provide guidance to missions that wish to use the CCSDS space communications protocols for spacecraft control and data handling but also require a level of security or data protection.

2.2 PROTOCOL LAYERS

2.2.1 SUMMARY

A communications protocol is usually associated with one of the seven layers defined in the OSI Basic Reference Model (reference [2]). Although some space communications protocols do not fit well with the OSI seven-layer model, this Report uses this model for categorizing the space communications protocols.

The space communications protocols are defined for the following five layers of the ISO model:

- a) Physical Layer;
- b) Data Link Layer;
- c) Network Layer;
- d) Transport Layer;
- e) Application Layer.

As in most terrestrial networks, protocols of the Session and Presentation Layers of the OSI model are rarely used over space links.

Figure 2-1 shows the space communications protocols categorized into the five layers listed above. Figure 2-2 shows some possible combinations of these protocols.

Commented [GPC9]: Better removing. Space link is actually defined in Foreword and section 1.

Commented [GPC10]: We may need to discuss about the latest version for this replacement figure. Suggest involving Tomaso de Cola.

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 2-3



CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 2-4



CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 2-5

In figure 2-1, there are two protocols that do not correspond to a single layer. CCSDS File Delivery Protocol (CFDP) has the functionality of the Transport and Application Layers. Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol has the functionality of the Data Link and Physical Layers. CCSDS does not formally define Application Program Interfaces (APIs) for the space communications protocols, but most CCSDS standards provide abstract service definitions in the form of primitives following the conventions established by ISO (see reference [21]). A primitive is an abstract representation of the services provided by the protocol layer, but it does not depend on any implementation technology. This abstract specification may be used as a reference for developing an API.

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 2-6

In the following subsections, the protocols shown in figure 2-1 are briefly introduced. Major features of these protocols will be explained in section 3.

2.2.2 PHYSICAL LAYER

CCSDS has an omnibus standard for the Physical Layer called the Radio Frequency and Modulation Systems (reference [10]) to be used for space links between spacecraft and ground stations. The Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol also contains recommendations for the Physical Layer of proximity space links (reference [20]).

2.2.3 DATA LINK LAYER

CCSDS defines two Sublayers in the Data Link Layer of the OSI Model: Data Link Protocol Sublayer and Synchronization and Channel Coding Sublayer. The Data Link Protocol Sublayer specifies methods of transferring data units provided by the higher layer over a point-to-point space link using data units known as Transfer Frames. The Synchronization and Channel Coding Sublayer specifies methods of synchronization and channel coding for transferring Transfer Frames over a space link.

CCSDS has developed <u>four-several</u> protocols for the Data Link Protocol Sublayer of the Data Link Layer:

- a) TM Space Data Link Protocol (reference [5]);
- b) TC Space Data Link Protocol (reference [6]);
- c) AOS Space Data Link Protocol (reference [7]);

d) Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol—Data Link Layer (reference [18]);

e) Unified Space Link Protocol (USLP) – Data Link Layer ([57]).

d)

The above protocols provide the capability to send data over a single space link. TM, TC, and AOS, and USLP have provision for inserting secured user data into a frame using the Space Data Link Security (SDLS) Protocol [58](reference [43]). However, there have been no security requirements to date established for Proximity-1. The SDLS protocol can provide security services, such as authentication and confidentiality, for TM Transfer Frames, AOS Transfer Frames, and/or TC Transfer Frames or USLP Transfer Frames. Note that the use of the SDLS function within these protocols is optional.

CCSDS has developed three standards for the Synchronization and Channel Coding Sublayer of the Data Link Layer:

- a) TM Synchronization and Channel Coding (reference [8]);
- b) TC Synchronization and Channel Coding (reference [9]);
- c) Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol—Coding and Synchronization Layer (reference [19]).

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", No bullets or numbering, Tab stops: Not at 0.5"

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", No bullets or numbering

Tab stops: Not at 0.5"

c)

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 2-7

TM Synchronization and Channel Coding is used with the TM<u>or</u>-or-AOS Space Data Link or <u>USLPProtocol</u>, TC Synchronization and Channel Coding is used with the TC Space Data Link Protocol<u>or USLP</u>, and the Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol—Coding and Synchronization Layer is used with the Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol—Data Link Layer. The TM, TC and AOS Space Data Link Protocols<u>-and</u>-the Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol (Data Link Layer<u>) and USLP</u> are called the Space Data Link Protocols in this document.

2.2.4 BETWEEN DATA LINK AND NETWORK LAYERS

Licklider Transmission Protocol (LTP) provides optional reliability mechanisms on top of an underlying (usually data link layer) communication service.

From the point of view of protocols above LTP (e.g., Bundle Protocol), the service LTP provides is optionally reliable delivery of layer-(N+1) PDUs across a link. For LTP, the interface to the data link is via either direct encapsulation in CCSDS Space Packets or via the CCSDS Encapsulation Service using either Space Packets or Encapsulation Packets.

<u>CCSDS-recognized Internet datagrams (listed in reference [44]) can also be transferred by</u> <u>CCSDS Space Data Link Protocols over a space link, multiplexed or not-multiplexed, using</u> the shim protocol, IP over CCSDS (reference [45]).

2.2.42.2.5 NETWORK LAYER

Space communications protocols of the Network Layer provide the function of routing or forwarding higher-layer data through the entire data system that includes both onboard and ground subnetworks.

CCSDS has-recognizes two standards for interfacing at the Network Layer:

- a) CCSDS-recognized Internet datagrams (listed in reference [44]);
- a) Space Packet Protocol (reference [4]);
- b) Encapsulation Service Delay Tolerant Networking (references[-55], [56][29]).

Formatted: Normal, Space Before: 0 pt

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt

Commented [GPC11]: This interface is ONLY via Encapsulation service. See recommended rewording

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Normal, Space Before: 0 pt

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.5"

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 2-8

<u>-high bit error rates;</u>
-asymmetric and simplex links.

One core element of DTN is the Bundle Protocol (BP). BP provides end-to-end network services, operating above the data transport services provided by links or networks accessed via the Convergence Layer Adapters (CLAs), and forming a store-and-forward network. The Bundle Protocol uses the 'native' local protocols for communications within a given network. The interface between the Bundle Protocol and a specific lower-layer protocol suite is known as a convergence layer. Figure 2-1 shows within the protocol reference model the Bundle Protocol and several optional convergence layer adaptors running above a transport protocol (intended to be interpreted in the context of the Internet stack) on the right, and running over either LTP or Encapsulation Service on the left.

2.2.52.2.6 TRANSPORT LAYER

Space communications protocols of the Transport Layer provide users with end-to-end transport services.

CCSDS has developed the SCPS Transport Protocol (SCPS-TP) (reference [13]) for the Transport Layer.

PDUs of a Transport Layer protocol are usually transferred with a protocol of the Network Layer over a space link, but they can be transferred directly by a Space Data Link. Transport protocols used in the Internet (such as TCP, reference [24], and UDP, reference [25]) can also be used on top of IP datagrams over CCSDS space links, reference [45]. IPSec (reference [27]) may be used with a Transport protocol of the Internet suite to provide end-

to-end data protection capability.

2.2.62.2.7 APPLICATION LAYER

Space communications protocols of the Application Layer provide users with end-to-end application services such as file transfer and data compression. CCSDS has developed five protocols for the Application Layer:

- a) Asynchronous Messaging Service (AMS) (reference [46]);
- b) CCSDS File Delivery Protocol (CFDP) (reference [15]);
- c) Lossless Data Compression (reference [16]);
- d) Image Data Compression (reference [17]);

e) Lossless Multispectral & Hyperspectral Image Compression (reference [48])

f) Space Packet Protocol (reference [4]);

g) Encapsulation Service (reference [29]).

```
<del>e)</del> -
```

AMS is an application layer protocol for end-to-end mission data system message transfer.

-{	Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt
-{	Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt
-{	Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt
-(Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt
-{	Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt
-{	Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt
-{	Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt
-{	Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt
Υ	Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt
Υ	Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt
Y,	Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt
$\langle \rangle$	Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt
Y	Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.25", Tab stops: 0.5", List tab +

Commented [GPC12]: I think we need to explain somehow the reason why SPP and Encapsulation Service in (new) Figure 2-1 are not show as belonging to Application Layer.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.25", No bullets

or numbering, Tab stops: Not at 0.5"

Not at 0.25

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 2-9

CFDP provides the functionality of the Application Layer (i.e., functions for file management). The CFDP Store-and-Forward Overlay procedures provide application-specific transfer of data across multiple link-layer hops.

Each project (or Agency) may also elect to use application-specific protocols not recommended by CCSDS to fulfill their mission requirements in the Application Layer over CCSDS space communications protocols.

PDUs of an Application Layer protocol are usually transferred with a protocol of the Transport Layer over a space link, but they can be transferred directly with a protocol of the Network Layer.

For the Space Packet Protocol, Protocol Data Units (PDUs) are generated and consumed by application processes that are on a spacecraft or on the ground.

<u>CCSDS Encapsulation Service allows encapsulation of PDUs of CCSDS recognized</u> protocols, as defined in a SANA registry (reference [47]) into one of two types of CCSDS packets i.e., either Space Packets or Encapsulation Packets. These packets can then be transferred by CCSDS Space Data Link Protocols over a space link using the VC/MAP Packet Service provided by the by CCSDS Space Data Link Protocols.

Applications protocols used in the Internet (such as FTP, reference [26]) can also be used on top of SCPS-TP, TCP and UDP over space links.

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 2-10

3 MAJOR FEATURES OF SPACE COMMUNICATIONS PROTOCOLS

3.1 PHYSICAL LAYER

The CCSDS Recommendation Standard for Radio Frequency and Modulation Systems (reference [10]) recommends the characteristics of the RF and modulation systems used for communications over space links between spacecraft and ground stations. The Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol—Physical Layer (reference [20]) also contains recommendations for the Physical Layer of proximity space links.

3.2 DATA LINK LAYER

3.2.1 GENERAL FEATURES OF DATA LINK PROTOCOLS

CCSDS has developed four protocols for the Data Link Protocol Sublayer of the Data Link Layer:

- a) TM Space Data Link Protocol (reference [5]);
- b) TC Space Data Link Protocol (reference [6]);
- c) AOS Space Data Link Protocol (reference [7]);
- <u>d)</u> Data Link Protocol Sublayer portion of Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol (reference [18]).

e) Unified Space Link Protocol (USLP) – Data Link Layer ([57]).

d)

These protocols (collectively known as Space Data Link Protocols) provide the capability to transfer various types of data on space links, but their principal function is to transfer variable-length data units known as packets.

Each packet format transferred by the Space Data Link Protocols must have a Packet Version Number (PVN) recognized by CCSDS. These numbers are contained in SANA (reference [28]). Packets with authorized Packet Version Numbers can be transferred by the

Space Data Link Protocols directly, but CCSDS has another mechanism to transferred by the CCSDS and non-CCSDS protocols with a service called the Encapsulation Service, defined in reference [29]. With this service, packets are transferred by the Space Data Link Protocols encapsulated in either Space Packets defined in reference [4] or Encapsulation Packets defined in reference [29].

The TM Space Data Link Protocol is usually used for (but not limited to) sending telemetry from a spacecraft to a ground station (i.e., on a return link). The TC Space Data Link Protocol is usually used for (but not limited to) sending commands from a ground station to a spacecraft (i.e., on a forward link). The AOS Space Data Link Protocol may be used on a return link alone, or on both forward and return links if there is a need for two-way higher-speed communications (e.g., audio and video) between a spacecraft and the ground. The Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol is to be used over proximity space links, where proximity space links are defined to be short range, bi-directional, fixed or mobile radio links, generally used to communicate among fixed probes, landers, rovers, orbiting constellations, and orbiting relays. The Unified Space Link Protocol is to be used over space-to-ground.

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 3-1

July 2014

Formatted: No bullets or numbering, Tab stops: Not at

ground-to-space, or space-to-space communications links by space missions. It is envisioned that USLP will be used by future space missions in lieu of TM, TC, AOS, and Proximity-1.

The protocol data units used by the Space Data Link Protocols are called Transfer Frames. The TM and AOS Space Data Link Protocols use fixed-length Transfer Frames to facilitate robust synchronization procedures over a noisy link, while the TC Space Data Link Protocol, and the Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol, and USLP use variable-length Transfer Frames to facilitate reception of short messages with a short delay.

A key feature of all the Space Data Link Protocols is the concept of 'Virtual Channels'. The Virtual Channel facility allows one Physical Channel (a stream of bits transferred over a space link in a single direction) to be shared among multiple higher-layer data streams, each of which may have different service requirements. A single Physical Channel may therefore be divided into several separate logical data channels, each known as a Virtual Channel (VC). Each Transfer Frame transferred over a Physical Channel belongs to one of the Virtual Channels of the Physical Channel.

The Both the TC Space Data Link Protocol and USLP haves a function for retransmitting lost or corrupted data to ensure delivery of data in sequence without gaps or duplication over a space link. This function is provided by a retransmission control mechanism called the Communications Operation Procedure-1 (COP-1), which is defined in a separate document (reference [30]). (This function does not necessarily guarantee end-to-end complete delivery.) The Both the Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol and USLP also has a similar function called COP-P, which is defined in the Data Link Layer Recommended Standard (reference [18]). Neither the TM Space Data Link Protocol nor the AOS Space Data Link Protocol has such a function, so retransmission must be done by a higher-layer protocol if complete delivery of data is required.

The TM and and AOS Space Data Link Protocols along with USLP should can be used together with the TM Synchronization and Channel Coding Recommended Standard (reference [8]). The TC Space Data Link Protocol along with USLPs should can be used together with the TC Synchronization and Channel Coding Recommended Standard (reference [9]). The TM Channel Coding Profiles Magenta book (reference [51]) provides guidance to users on the use of the various coding schemes for telemetry links in different mission profiles. The TM, TC, and AOS Space Data Link Protocols and USLP should can be used on top of the Recommended Standard for Radio Frequency and Modulation Systems (reference [10]).

The Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol—Data Link Layer (reference [18]) as well as the USLP—Data Link Layer should can be used together with the Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol—Data Coding and Synchronization Layer (reference [19]) and on top of the Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol—Physical Layer (reference [20]).

A summary of concept and rationale of the TM, TC and AOS Space Data Link Protocols is contained in reference [31]. A summary of rationale, architecture and scenarios of the Similarly for Proximity-1Space Link Protocol that information is contained in reference [32] and for the USLP in reference [USLP Green book].

3.2.2 IDENTIFIERS USED BY DATA LINK PROTOCOLS

The Space Data Link Protocols provide link identifiers to identify data streams. The identifier names as well as their values reside in the Space Assigned Numbers Authority (SANA).

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 3-2

SANA is the registrar for all protocol registries created under CCSDS. SANA replaces the retired Space Link Identifiers Blue Book. The CCSDS Global Spacecraft Identification Field: Code Assignment Control Procedures Blue Book (reference [52]) contains the procedures governing requesting, assigning, and relinquishing CCSDS SCID field codes.

The TM, TC, and AOS, and USLP Space Data Link Protocols have the following three identifiers: the Transfer Frame Version Number (TFVN), the Spacecraft Identifier (SCID), and the Virtual Channel Identifier (VCID).

The Transfer Frame Version Number (TFVN) is used to distinguish among different Transfer Frames. However, different Transfer Frames must not be multiplexed on a Physical Channel. The concatenation of a TFVN and a SCID is known as a Master Channel Identifier (MCID), which is used for identifying a spacecraft associated with a space link.

All Transfer Frames with the same MCID on a Physical Channel constitute a Master Channel (MC). A Master Channel consists of one or more Virtual Channels, each of which is identified with a VCID. In most cases, a Physical Channel carries only Transfer Frames of a single MCID, and the Master Channel will be identical with the Physical Channel. However, a Physical Channel may carry Transfer Frames with multiple MCIDs (with the same TFVN). In such a case, the Physical Channel consists of multiple Master Channels. A Physical Channel is identified with a Physical Channel Name, which is set by management and not included in the header of Transfer Frames.

The Both the TC Space Data Link Protocol and USLP uses an optional identifier, called the Multiplexer Access Point Identifier (MAP ID), that is used to create multiple streams of data within a Virtual Channel. All the Transfer Frames on a Virtual Channel with the same MAP ID constitute a MAP Channel. If the MAP ID is used, a Virtual Channel consists of one or multiple MAP Channels.

Figure 3-1 shows the relationship among the channels of the TM, TC, and AOS Space Data Link Protocols and USLP.

Figure 3-1: Relationships between Channels of the Space Data Link Protocols<u>NOTE to</u> <u>TOM Gannett: Change MAP Channel (TC only; Optional) to MAP Channel (TC or</u> <u>USLP; Optional)</u>

Formatted: Normal

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 3-3

The Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol—Data Link Layer uses a triad of multiplexing capabilities, which is incorporated for specific functionality within the link. The Spacecraft Identifier (SCID) identifies the source or destination of Transfer Frames transported in the link connection based upon the Source-or-Destination Identifier. The Physical Channel Identifier (PCID) provides up to two independently multiplexed channels. The Port ID provides the means to route user data internally (at the transceiver's output interface) to specific logical ports, such as applications or transport processes, or to physical ports, such as onboard buses or physical connections (including hardware command decoders). Table 3-1 summarizes the identifiers of the Space Data Link Protocols. The values of these IDs are maintained by the SANA registries (reference [47]).

Identifiers	TM Space Data Link Protocol	TC Space Data Link Protocol	AOS Space Data Link Protocol	Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol— Data Link Layer	<u>Unified Space</u> Link Protocol (USL P)	Formatted Table
Transfer Frame Version Number (TFVN)	BAlways 1 (binary encoded number is 00)	Always 1 (Beinary encoded number is 00)	Always 2 <u>B(binary</u> encoded number is 01)	Always 3 (<u>B</u> binary encoded number is 10)	Binary encoded number is 1100	Commented [GPC13]: Even if I agree that may be confusing stating that version 1 frames use the vaklue zero, I think we should save the fact that those frames are defined to be "Version X frames" This could be done e.g. rewording the text in the boxes or adding noted.
Spacecraft Identifier (SCID)	0 to 1023	0 to 1023	0 to 255	0 to 1023	<u>0 to 65535</u>	BTW, is USLP version 4 frame?
Physical Channel Identifier (PCID)	N/A	N/A	N/A	0 to 1	<u>N/A</u>	
Virtual Channel Identifier (VCID)	0 to 7	0 to 63	0 to 63	N/A	<u>0 to 63</u>	
Multiplexer Access Point Identifier (MAP ID)	N/A	0 to 63	N/A	N/A	<u>0 to 15</u>	
Port Identifier	N/A	N/A	N/A	0 to 7	<u>N/A</u>	

3.2.3 SERVICES PROVIDED BY DATA LINK PROTOCOLS

The Space Data Link Protocols provide several services to transfer a variety of data on a space link. The most important service is a service to transfer variable-length data units known as packets (i.e., protocol data units of protocols of the Network Layer). In addition to this service, the Space Data Link Protocols provide services to transfer fixed- or variable-length data units with private (non-CCSDS) formats, short fixed-length data units for reporting on real-time functions, and bit streams.

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 3-4

Table 3-2 shows a summary of the services provided by the TM/TC/AOS/USLP Space Data Link Protocols categorized by the types of data transferred by the services. For complete definition of these services, refer to references [5], [6], and [7], and [57].

NOTE - The Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol—Data Link Layer is not included in this table because no formal service definition is given in the Recommended Standard (references [18]). In spite of not having a formal service definition, Proximity-1 can deliver the same SDUs delivered by the TC VC Packet Service, Encapsulation Service, and the TC VCA Service.

Commented [GPC14]: Should the mappimng be to VC Packet or to MAP Packer or to both? Anout Encapsulation Service se note below

Type of Service Data Units	TM Space Data Link Protocol	TC Space Data Link Protocol	AOS Space Data Link Protocol	Unified Space Link Protocol (USLP)	Formatted Table Formatted: Tab stops: 0.35", Left
Packets (NOTE 1)	VC Packet Service, Encapsulation Service	MAP Packet Service, VC Packet Service, Encapsulation Service	VC Packet Service, Encapsulation Service	MAP Packet Service, Encapsulation Service	Commented [GPC15]: Correct nam only for TC) is Virtual Channel Packet S Commented [GPC16]: COMMENT ROW: I do not know how and when the entered in this row of the table. Howeve with USI P) Spece Data Link Percented
Fixed-length private data	VC Access Service	(None)	VC Access Service	MAP Access Service	Encapsulation Service but – thanks to th – they support the Encapsulation Service Note that each column shall actually ma
Variable- length private data	(None)	MAP Access Service, VC Access Service	(None)	MAP Access Service	book that lists the "Summary of Service: TM/TC/AOS/USLP Space Data Link Pr CCSDS 132.0-B-2 and CCSDS 732.0-B the Encapsulation Idle Packet.
Short fixed- length data	VC FSH Service (NOTE 2), MC FSH Service, VC OCF Service (NOTE 3), MC OCF Service	(None)	Insert Service, VC OCF Service (NOTE 3)	Insert Service, USLP MC OCF Service	CCSDS 23:0-B-3 never mentions 13:3.1 I this we shall remove Encapsulation S of this row and rather explain elsewhe protococols support it by providing th (in case the existing text is not considered
Bit <u>S</u> stream	(None)	(None)	Bitstream Service	Octet Stream Service	
Transfer Frames	VC Frame Service, MC Frame Service	VC Frame Service, MC Frame Service	VC Frame Service, MC Frame Service	VC Frame Service, MC Frame Service	

Table 3-2: Summary of Services Provided by Space Data Link Protocols

nted [GPC15]: Correct name also for TM and AOS (not C) is Virtual Channel Packet Service nted [GPC16]: COMMENT FOR THE COMPLETE o not know how and when the "Encapsulation Service" this row of the table. However this is wrong, the 3 (now 4 P) Space Data Link Protocol do not provide any ation Service but - thanks to the VC/MAP Packet Services port the Encapsulation Service provided at higher level. each column shall actually match Table 2-1 of each SDLP lists the "Summary of Services Provided by OS/USLP Space Data Link Protocol". 32.0-B-2 and CCSDS 732.0-B-3 mention 133.1 only for sulation Idle Packet 32.0-B-3 never mentions 133.1. shall remove Encapsulation Service from all the boxes

y and rather explain elsewhere that space data link Is support it by providing the VC/MAP Packet Service e existing text is not considered sufficienet).

NOTES

- Packets directly transferred by the Space Data Link Protocols must have Packet 1 Version Numbers authorized by CCSDS. These Packet Version Numbers are found in reference [28]. Other packets can be transferred using the Encapsulation Service defined in reference [29].
- 2 FSH = Frame Secondary Header.
- 3 OCF = Operational Control Field.

Commented [GPC17]: Should we use here a term different from packet? E.g. "PDUs of CCSDS recognized protocols'

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 3-5

3.2.4 SYNCHRONIZATION AND CHANNEL CODING

The standards of the Synchronization and Channel Coding Sublayer provide some additional functions necessary for transferring Transfer Frames over space links. These functions are delimiting/synchronizing Transfer Frames, error-correction coding/decoding, and bit transition generation/removal. CCSDS has five standards for Synchronization and Channel Coding:

- a) A set of three TM specifications:
 - 1) TM Synchronization and Channel Coding (reference [8]),
 - 2) Flexible Advanced Coding and Modulation Scheme for High Rate Telemetry Applications (reference [49]), or 'SCCC',
 - 3) CCSDS Space Link Protocols over ETSI DVB-S2 Standard (reference [50]), or 'DVB-S2';
- b) TC Synchronization and Channel Coding (reference [9]);
- c) Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol—Coding and Synchronization Sublayer (reference [19]).

The three TM specifications define alternative synchronization and channel coding schemes used with the TM or AOS Space Data Link Protocol, *TC Synchronization and Channel Coding* defines synchronization and channel coding schemes used with the TC Space Data Link Protocol, and *Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol—Coding and Synchronization Sublayer* defines synchronization and channel coding schemes used with the Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol.

Options a)2) and a)3) are recommended only for high rate downlink. *TM Channel Coding Profiles* (reference [51]) provides suggestions on how to accomplish channel coding options. Table 3-3 summarizes the functions and schemes provided by the Synchronization and Channel Coding standards.

Commented [GPC18]: Once the matter is set in the C&S WG we shill mention the downselection of TM codes for AOS and USLP Uplink.

Commented [GPC19]: This is now a silver book. → remove?

Page 3-6

Functions	TM Synchronization and Channel Coding	TC Synchronizatio n and Channel Coding	Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol— Coding and Synchronization Layer
Error Correction Scheme + Frame Validation (see NOTE 3)	- Convolutional Coding + FECF; - Reed Solomon Coding; - Concatenated Coding; - Turbo Coding + FECF; - Low-density Parity-check (LDPC) Coding; - SCCC + FECF; - DVB-S2 + FECF	- BCH Coding - BCH Coding + FECF	- Convolutional Coding + Attached CRC; - Low-density Parity-check (LDPC) Coding + Attached CRC;
Pseudo- Randomizati on (see NOTE 3)	Cyclic Pseudo- noise Sequence*	Cyclic Pseudo- noise Sequence*	Cyclic Pseudo- noise Sequence (Used – mandatorily- only for LDPC Coding)
Frame Synchronizat ion	32-bit (or longer) Attached Sync Marker (ASM) depending on applied coding scheme	16-bit Start Sequence	24-bit Attached Sync Marker

Table 3-3: Functions of Synchronization and Channel Coding Standards

NOTES

- 1 *`*'* in the table denotes an optional function.
- 2 When a box of the table shows several options, only one option can be applied at a given time.
- 3 When only an Error Correction scheme is mentioned, it means that the scheme is also capable of validating the frame; i.e. declaring it erroneous or error free. In other cases, for TM/TC/AOS/USLP a Frame Error Control Field is used for error detection while Proximity-1 uses a Cyclic Redundancy Code (CRC) attached to the frame (but not part of the frame). The Frame Error Control Field is defined in the Recommended Standards on the TM/TC/AOS/USLP Space Data Link Protocols, and not in the Recommended Standards on Synchronization and Channel Coding.
- 4 The Cyclic Pseudo-noise Sequence used by TM Synchronization and Channel Coding differs from that one used for both TC Synchronization and Channel Coding and Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol—Coding and Synchronization Layer.

Summaries of concept and rationale for TM Synchronization and Channel Coding, TC Synchronization and Channel Coding, and Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol—Coding and Synchronization Layer are contained in references [33], [34], and [32], respectively.

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 3-7

3.3 NETWORK LAYER

3.3.1 GENERAL FEATURES OF NETWORK PROTOCOLS

Data Forwarding differs greatly from data routing, defined in [60] as "the process of selecting paths from origins to destinations in a network." Here the concept of an endpoint is *global* over a series of open and extensible subnetworks. Whenever we route across multiple subnetworks a network routing protocol is required, which is not in the purview of SPP. It is essential when one plans to route data over an open network composed of multiple subnetworks, one must use a network protocol.

CCSDS has one service for interfacing at the Network Layer: the Encapsulation Service. Within this service, there are two different types of packets: Space Packets defined in the Space Packet Protocol (reference [4]) and Encapsulation Packets defined in the Encapsulation Service (reference [29]). It should be noted that IP over CCSDS (reference [45]) exclusively utilizes the Encapsulation Packet.

NOTE While the Space Packet protocol provides a service interface that could be used for access to the network layer, the service is subsumed by the Encapsulation service.

The Space Packet Protocol was developed to transfer data (1) from a source on a spacecraft to one or multiple destinations on the ground or on (an)other spacecraft, or (2) from a source on the ground to one or multiple destinations on one or multiple spacecraft. When protocol data units of this protocol traverse the data system of a space mission (i.e., onboard networks, onboard data handling system, ground stations, control centers), the application identifier (APID) that is part of each packet is used for determining the path that packet will take. All decisions about how packets are to be handled and forwarded, based on this APID, are set by management agreement and are not a formal part of the protocol.

expectation of interoperable handling of APIDs and paths in a cross support situation unless agreements have been clearly defined as to how such forwarding is to be done.

The Space Packet Protocol provides the capability to transfer space application data over a path that involves a ground to space or a space to space communications link.

By using the Encapsulation Service<u>as a shim</u>, other CCSDS-recognized Network Protocols such as Delay Tolerant Networking (DTN) (references [55] and [56]) and IP can be used over space links.

The protocol data units of the Space Packet Protocol are called Space Packets, while the protocol data units of IP are called IP datagrams.

SPP and IP do not provide any QoS mechanisms for reliable delivery, in order delivery, or duplicate suppression. If these functions are required they must be implemented by a higher-layer (e.g. transport layer) protocol.

Over a space link, protocol data units of the network protocols (i.e. DTN, IP, or others carried through SPP or Encapsulation Service) are transferred within the Space Data Link Protocols.

The Space Data Link Protocols have the capability to carry several protocol data units of the Internet Protocol, multiplexed or not-multiplexed, within the Encapsulation packet. IP over CCSDS (reference [45]) specifies how CCSDS-recognized IP datagrams are transferred over the link.

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 3-8

3.3.2 ADDRESSING OF NETWORK PROTOCOLS

Two types of addresses are used by the Network Layer protocols:CCSDS currently defines two different types of addresses: Path Address and End System Address.

The Path Address, used by the Space Packet Protocol, identifies a Logical Data Path (LDP) in the networka single closed subnetwork from a source to one or multiple destinations within an A-B-A configuration. The LDP is only interoperable within that specific context. Within this single closed subnetwork, APID assignments must be made unambiguous (to avoid collisions between application processes) however their management is outside the scope of SPP. Path Addresses are not addresses as defined in reference [2], but CCSDS has been using Path Addresses as a way of identifying logical connections in the Space Packet Protocol (reference [4]). Configuration of LDPs is done by management activities relying on specific user to provider agreements and mission/subnetwork-specific mechanisms, and not by any explicit features of <u>SPPthis protocol</u>. The concept of a LDP is only interoperable within the context of a single closed subnetwork within an A-B-A configuration. Within this single closed subnetwork within an A-B-A configuration. Within this single closed subnetwork within an A-B-A configuration. Within this single closed subnetwork within an A-B-A configuration. Within this single closed subnetwork within an A-B-A configuration. Within this single closed subnetwork within an A-B-A configuration. Within this single closed subnetwork within an A-B-A configuration. Within this single closed subnetwork within an A-B-A configuration. Within this single closed subnetwork within an A-B-A configuration. Within this single closed subnetwork within an A-B-A configuration. Within this single closed subnetwork within an A-B-A configuration. Within this single closed subnetwork within an A-B-A configuration. Within this single closed subnetwork within an A-B-A configuration. Within this single closed subnetwork within an A-B-A configuration. Within this single closed subnetwork within an A-B-A configuration. Within this single closed subnetwork within a single closed subnetwork within an A-B-A configuration. Within this single c

An End System Address, as used by IP and DTN, unambiguously identifies a single end system or a group of end systems. If it is necessary to identify both the source and destination when using End System Addresses, a pair of End System Addresses must be used. These addresses are specified in the IP or DTN PDUs and they are used by the IP or DTN routing nodes to perform routing decisions at each step along the end-to-end path. As already mentioned, CCSDS Encapsulation Service allows the use of other CCSDS recognized Network Protocols within their own addressing types.

CCSDS is developing Delay Tolerant Networking (references [55] and [56]) as the means to perform interoperable internetworking in space, in either disrupted or delayed end-to-end communication environments.

3.4 TRANSPORT LAYER

CCSDS has developed the SCPS Transport Protocol (SCPS-TP) (reference [13]) for the Transport Layer. The CCSDS File Delivery Protocol (CFDP) (reference [15]) also provides the functionality of the Transport Layer, but it provides some functions (i.e., functions for file management) of the Application Layer as well.

SCPS-TP supports end-to-end communications between applications and is designed to meet the needs of a broad range of space missions. It defines extensions to TCP and incorporates UDP by reference. It may be used on top of the Space Packet, Encapsulation Packet, or IP over CCSDS.

CFDP provides the functionality of the Application Layer (i.e., functions for file management), but it also provides functions of the Transport Layer.

Transport protocols used in the Internet (such as TCP, reference [24], and UDP, reference [25]) can also be used on top of the Encapsulation packet, or IP over CCSDS space links.

IPSec (reference [27]) can be used with the Internet Protocol suite to provide end-to-end data protection capability.

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 3-9

July 2014

Commented [GPC20]: I suggest having this section in hold and review it only after agreement of the LDP in SPP.

3.5 APPLICATION LAYER

CCSDS has developed five protocols for the Application Layer:

- a) Asynchronous Messaging Service (AMS) (reference [46]);
- b) CCSDS File Delivery Protocol (CFDP) (reference [15]);
- c) Lossless Data Compression (reference [16]);
- d) Image Data Compression (reference [17]);
- e) Lossless Multispectral & Hyperspectral Image Compression (reference [48]).
- f) Space Packet Protocol (reference [4]);
- g) Encapsulation Service (reference [29]).

e)

The Asynchronous Messaging Service (AMS) implements an interoperable protocol under which the mission modules, distinct sequential flows of application control logic, whether called processes, tasks, or threads, each one producing and consuming mission information, may be designed without explicit awareness of which other modules are currently operating nor of where they are deployed.

CFDP is designed to meet the needs of space missions to transfer files. It is a file transfer protocol, but it also provides services typically found in the Transport Layer, i.e. complete, in-order, without duplicate data delivery. It can be used on top of any protocol of the Network Layer (e.g., Space Packet Protocol, Encapsulation Service, IP over CCSDS), or directly on top of the TC Space Data Link Protocol or Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol if a Virtual Channel, a MAP, an APID, or a Port is dedicated to CFDP. In some circumstances it can be used on top of UDP, TCP or SCPS-TP. A summary of concept and rationale of CFDP is contained in reference [35].

The Lossless Data Compression standard was developed to increase the science return as well as to reduce the requirement for onboard memory, station contact time, and data archival volume. This standard guarantees full reconstruction of the original data without incurring any distortion in the process. It is intended to be used together with the Space Packet Protocol or CFDP. A summary of concept and rationale of Lossless Data Compression is contained in reference [36].

The Image Data Compression standard was developed to establish a standard for a data compression algorithm applied to digital image two-dimensional spatial data from payload instruments. With this standard, quantization or other approximations used in the compression process may result in the inability to reproduce the original data set without some distortion. It is intended to be used together with the Space Packet Protocol, CFDP, or the AOS Space Data Link Protocol.

Applications protocols used in the Internet can be used over TCP (with or without the SCPS-TP extensions) or UDP as long as the underlying links are sufficiently short (<1 sec) and continuously available. Typically, an application is written to use the reliable streamoriented service of TCP *or* the unreliable datagram service of UDP, but not both. Some exceptions to this exist, however, in which applications are written to operate over either service.

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 3-10

July 2014

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.25", Tab stops: 0.5", List tab + Not at 0.25"

Formatted: No bullets or numbering, Tab stops: Not at

The Lossless Multispectral & Hyperspectral Image Compression standard provides a data compression algorithm applied to digital three-dimensional image data from payload instruments, such as multispectral and hyperspectral imagers, and to specify the compressed data format.

Each project (or Agency) may elect to use application-specific protocols not recommended by CCSDS to fulfill their mission requirements in the Application Layer over CCSDS space communications protocols.

Over a space link, protocol data units of the network protocols (i.e. DTN Bundles, IP datagrams, are encapsulated into either Space Packets via the SPP (reference [4]) or Encapsulation Packets via the Encapsulation Service (reference [29]) and then transferred by one of the the Space Data Link Protocols. It should be noted that IP over CCSDS (reference [45]) exclusively utilizes the Encapsulation Packet.

<u>NOTE</u> – While the Space Packet protocol provides a service interface that could be used for access to the network layer, the service is subsumed by the Encapsulation service.

The Space Packet Protocol was developed to transfer data (1) from a source on a spacecraft to one or multiple destinations on the ground or on (an)other spacecraft, or (2) from a source on the ground to one or multiple destinations on one or multiple spacecraft. When protocol data units of this protocol traverse the data system of a space mission (i.e., onboard networks onboard data handling system, ground stations, control centers), the application identifier (APID) that is part of each packet is used for determining the path that packet will take. All decisions about how packets are to be handled and forwarded, based on this APID, are set by management agreement and are not a formal part of the protocol. There should be no expectation of interoperable handling of APIDs and paths in a cross support situation unless agreements have been clearly defined as to how such forwarding is to be done. The Space Packet Protocol provides the capability to transfer space application data over a path that involves a ground-to-space or a space-to-space communications link. By using the Encapsulation Service, other CCSDS-recognized Network Protocols such as Delay Tolerant Networking (DTN) (references [55] and [56]) and IP can be used over space links. However unlike DTN, in SPP no provisions are made for addressing the scheduled nature of connectivity between any of the end points nor intermediate links.

The protocol data units of the Space Packet Protocol are called Space Packets, while the protocol data units of IP are called IP datagrams.

SPP and IP do not provide any QoS mechanisms for reliable delivery, in-order delivery, or duplicate suppression. If these functions are required they must be implemented by a higher-layer (e.g. transport layer) protocol.

The Space Data Link Protocols have the capability to carry several protocol data units of the Internet Protocol, multiplexed or not-multiplexed, within the Encapsulation packet. IP over CCSDS (reference [45]) specifies how CCSDS-recognized IP datagrams are transferred over the link.

Formatted: Normal

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 3-11

4 EXAMPLES OF PROTOCOL CONFIGURATIONS

4.1 GENERAL

This section shows some examples of how space communications protocols of various layers are used in space data systems.

Five examples of protocol configurations are shown in this section. There are many other combinations of protocols that can be used in space data systems, but it is not the intention of this Report to enumerate all possible combinations of protocols. The following examples are selected to illustrate the basic functionality of the space communications protocols.

For each example in this section, two diagrams are shown. The first diagram shows a stack of protocols used over a space link (i.e., a link between a spacecraft and a ground station or between two spacecraft).

A space data system consists of one or more onboard subnetworks, one or more space links, and one or more ground subnetworks. In this section, however, a simple space data system consisting of four major elements (see figure 4-1) is used to illustrate how space communications protocols are used in an end-to-end space data system. It will be shown that

some space communications protocols are used in an one to one space data system. It will be shown that some space communications protocols are used for end-to-end communications between onboard and ground end systems, and some space communications protocols are used only for communications over the space link.

The primary difference among the five examples shown in this section is the selection of the protocol used for end-to-end routing or forwarding. In a space data system, user data traverse subnetworks (i.e., one or more onboard subnetworks, one or more space links, and one or more ground subnetworks). One of the protocols used in a space data system provides the capability of routing user data from a source to a destination through these subnetworks. This functionality is called 'end-to-end routing' in this Report (see definitions of 'routing' and 'forwarding' in 1.3.2).

SPP is used for end-to-end data forwarding in a closed subnetwork within an A-B-A configuration in Section 4.2.

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 4-1

The following protocols are used for end-to-end <u>data</u> routing in the following <u>examplessections</u>:

a) Space Packet Protocol or Encapsulation Service;

<u>b)a)</u> IP over CCSDS over the Encapsulation Packet (4.3);

b) <u>CFDPBP that supports DTN (4.4)</u>.

e) NOTES

- 1 In the following figures, 'Prox Space Data Link Protocol' denotes the Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol—Data Link Layer.
- 2 In the following figures, the Synchronization and Channel Coding standards are omitted for simplicity reasons.
- 3 CCSDS is developing DTN (references [55] and [56]) as the means to internetwork in space.

4.2 END-TO-END <u>DATA</u> FORWARDING USING PACKETS DEFINED BY CCSDS

In this example, the Space Packet is used for end-to-end forwarding. The Space Packet Protocol was designed by CCSDS to meet the requirements of space missions for efficient transfer of processed data over space links. This configuration is suited to space missions that require the simple APID tag and forwarding capabilities provided by the Space Packet Protocol.

Figure 4-2 shows an example of protocol configuration on a space link, and figure 4-3 shows an example of protocol configuration in an end-to-end space data system. At each intermediate system some mechanism, not specified in the SPP protocol, examines the APID and forwards the data to the next node that it has been instructed to use. There is no endpoint address and there is no specified mechanism for doing this interoperably. It is done by management and external agreement between user and service provider.

When the Space Packet Protocol is used for end-to-end forwarding, in the ground subnetwork Space Packets are usually transferred with a Space Link Extension (SLE) Service (see references [38]–[42]). Data Forwarding differs greatly from data routing, defined in [60] as "the process of selecting paths from origins to destinations in a network." Here the concept of an endpoint is *global* over a series of open and extensible subnetworks. Whenever we route across multiple subnetworks a network routing protocol is required, which is not in the purview of SPP. We will also state in the revised SPP that when one plans to route data over an open network composed of multiple subnetworks, one must use a network protocol.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", No bullets or numbering, Tab stops: Not at 0.5"

Page 4-2

Figure 4-3: Protocol Configuration in a Space Data System When Space Packet Protocol or Encapsulation Service Is Used for End-to-End Forwarding

4.3 IP OVER CCSDS FOR END-TO-END ROUTING

In the fourth example, one of the CCSDS recognized IP datagrams defined in SANA is used for end-to-end routing. This configuration is suited to space missions that require integration of their space segments into the Internet when end-to-end internetworking is required and when connectivity and RTLT is suitable to support this approach.

Figure 4-4 shows an example of protocol configuration on a space link, and figure 4-5 shows an example of protocol configuration in an end-to-end space data system.

Protocol data units (datagrams) of IP are transferred by Space Data Link Protocols using the IP over CCSDS protocol in order for the Space Data Link Protocols to process IP datagrams efficiently.

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 4-3

In this example, it is assumed that the Internet is directly extended into the space segment. Most Internet end-to-end protocols and SCPS-TP can be used on top of IP. SCPS-TP can be converted to TCP/UDP at a relay system.

Application Specific Protocols		
SCPS-TP/TCP	UDP	
IP over CCSDS		
Encapsulation Packet		
TM/TC/AOS/Prox-1 Space Data Link Protocols		
RF & Modulatio	on Systems	

Figure 4-4: Protocol Configuration on a Space Link When IP over CCSDS Is Used for End-to-End Routing <u>NOTE to Tom Gannett: Change</u> <u>TM/TC/AOS/Prox-1 Space Data Link Protocols to CCSDS Space Data</u> <u>Link Protocols</u>

At each intermediate system in an IP deployment a routing mechanism, specified in the IP protocol, examines the destination address and makes a routing decision that sends the data to the next node in the route. The endpoint address is explicit and all of the mechanisms for doing this interoperably are fully specified. The encapsulation packet provides the shim to insert the IP datagrams into a CCSDS space link and to extract it at the other end.

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 4-4

Figure 4-5: Protocol Configuration in a Space Data System When IPoC Is Used for End-to-End Routing

4.4 CFDP-BP FOR END-TO-END FORWARDING DATA ROUTING

In the final example, <u>Bundle Protocol (CFDP-BP) [56]</u> is used for end-to-end <u>data routing for</u> the exchange of messages (bundles) that support Delay Tolerant Networking (DTN). <u>BP</u> provides end-to-end network services, operating above the data transport services provided by links or networks accessed via the CLAs, and forming a store-and-forward network. forwarding. CFDP is a file transfer protocol, but it also has the capability to forward files through a space data system. This configuration is suited to space missions in which most data are transferred as files. In most deployments of CFDP the forwarding features defined in the Class 3 and 4, or Store and Forward Overlay (SFO) options are not used, but they may be. Typical deployments use only the CFDP Class 1 (unreliable) or Class 2 (reliable) modes and deploy CFDP protocol engines only in the end nodes.

Figure 4-6 shows an example of protocol configuration on a space link, and figure 4-7 shows an example of protocol configuration in an end-to-end space data system.

In this example, it is assumed that protocol data units of CFDP are carried either by the Space Packet Protocol or the Encapsulation Service over the space link, but they can also be carried by IP over CCSDS.

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 4-5

Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0"

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 4-6

The Bundle Protocol uses the 'native' local protocols for communications within a given network. The interface between the Bundle Protocol and a specific lower-layer protocol suite is known as a convergence layer. Figure 4-6 **Error! Reference source not found.** shows an example configuration with the Bundle Protocol and a convergence layer adaptor running above a transport protocol (intended to be interpreted in the context of the Internet stack) on the left, and running directly over a Data Link Layer on the right. The 'CL B' on the right could, for example, be the interface to the Licklider Transmission Protocol with the 'Link B1' representing LTP running over one of the CCSDS Data Link Layer protocols. Alternatively BP could be used to connect together two internets that may exist, such as an on-orbit (or lunar) network and a ground network.

Figure 4-7, which represents the use of multiple CFDP protocol engines and the Store and Forward Overlay (SFO), is an example of end to end forwarding. At each intermediate system the CFDP protocol is terminated, the file is re-assembled, and the SFO procedure is used to forward the data to the next node that it has been instructed to use. This is hop by hop file delivery. It is done by management and external agreement between user and service provider.

CCSDS is developing the DTN networking protocols (see references [55] and [56]) that are suitable for use in both near Earth, connected, environments and in deep space, or disconnected, environments with long RTLT. The recommended approach for providing end-to-end file services with intermediate hops is to utilize CFDP over DTN. In this configuration the DTN networking protocol manages the end-to-end routing and delivery of the CFDP PDUs.

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page 4-7

ANNEX A

ACRONYMS

This annex lists the acronyms and abbreviations used in this Report.

AOS	Advanced Orbiting Systems	1	
AMS	Asynchronous Messacing Service		
	Application Decrease Identifier		
APID	Application Process Identifier		
ASM	Attached Synchronization Marker		
BCH	Bose-Chaudhuri-Hocquenghem (code)	•	Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0.5"
BP	Bundle Protocol		
CCSDS	Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems		
CFDP	CCSDS File Delivery Protocol		
CL	Convergence Layer		
CLA	Convergence Layer Adapter		
DTN	Delay Tolerant Networking		
DVD S2	Divited Video Drandonsting Sotellite Second Congretion		Formattad, Indent, First line, 0.5"
<u>DVD-32</u>	Digital video broadcasting - Saternie - Second Generation		Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.5
FECF	Frame Error Control Field	1	
FSH	Frame Secondary Header		
FTP	File Transfer Protocol		
ID	Identifier		
IP	Internet Protocol		
LDP	Logical Data Path		
LDPC	Low Density Parity Check (code)		
LTP	Linklider Transmission Protocol		
ΜΔΡ			
IVIAI	Multiplexer Access Point		
MAI	Multiplexer Access Point Master Channel		

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page A-1

MCID	Master Channel Identifier
N/A	Not Applicable
OCF	Operational Control Field
PCID	Physical Channel Identifier
PDU	Protocol Data Unit
Prox-1	Proximity-1
PVN	Packet Version Number
QoS	Quality of Service
RTLT	Round Trip Light Time
SANA	Space Assigned Numbers Authority
SCCC	Serial Concatenated Convolutional Code
SCID	Spacecraft Identifier
SCPS	Space Communications Protocol Standards
SCPS-FP	Space Communications Protocol Standards File Protocol
SCPS-NP	Space Communications Protocol Standards Network Protocol
SCPS-SP	Space Communications Protocol Standards Security Protocol
SCPS-TP	Space Communications Protocol Standards Transport Protocol
SDLS	Space Data Link Security (protocol)
SLE	Space Link Extension
SPP	Space Packet Protocol
TC	Telecommand
ТСР	Transmission Control Protocol
TDM	Time Division Multiplexing
TFVN	Transfer Frame Version Number
TM	Telemetry
USLP	Unified Space Link Protocol
UDP	User Datagram Protocol
VC	Virtual Channel

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page A-2

VCID Virtual Channel Identifier

CCSDS 130.0-G-3

Page A-3